UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/814,933 | 03/30/2004 | Nicholas I. Buchan | | 7929 |
| 7590 02/05/2007 G. Marlin Knight Hoyt & Knight | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | JOHNSON, CONNIE P | |
| PO Box 1320 Pioneer, CA 95 | i6 | , | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 1752 | |
| | | , | | , |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 02/05/2007 | DADED | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/814,933 | BUCHAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Connie P. Johnson | 1752 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 O | <u>ctober 2006</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | | | | | | |
| , , | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | • | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document | , |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ∌d . | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/814,933

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The remarks and amendment filed 10/13/2006 are entered and fully considered.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are presented.
 - Claims 1-3 are amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lille, U.S. Patent No. 6,725,526 B2.

Lille teaches a suspension assembly with a base, formed from silicon wafer (stiffener layer), a sacrificial layer (cushion layer), which is less stiff than the silicon wafer and subsequently formed over the silicon wafer. The silicon wafer may include silicon rubber. The assembly may also include a photoresist layer comprising elastomeric polydimethylsiloxane (transfer layer).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/814,933

Art Unit: 1752

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/13/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant argues that the Lillie reference teaches a photoresist that is patterned in situ. Further, that the Lillie reference does not teach or suggest the use of a pad with a layer of polydimethylsiloxane to apply liquid photoresist to a surface.
- 7. Applicant is directed to column 2, lines 38-46 wherein Lillie one embodiment comprising forming a suspension assembly with a sacrificial layer formed over a substrate, a transfer film layer and a photoresist layer. Column 4, line 27 shows that the substrate is a silicon wafer. Further, Lillie teaches that a transfer film layer of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) is formed on the substrate (column 4, line 58-61). This structure meets the limitations of applicant's instant invention as claimed. The amendment of claims 1-3 do not add any positive limitations to the claims. The addition of the limitation of the transfer layer, "for receiving a coating of photoresist in liquid form and applying the coating of photoresist in liquid form to a surface" is a process limitation. Applicant only claims a product (a pad) in instant claims 1-3. In addition, whether the patterned photoresist is formed *in situ* or not is not relevant to the product claims recited in applicant's invention. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,933

Art Unit: 1752

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Connie P. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-7758. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-4:00pm Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,933 Page 5

Art Unit: 1752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Connie P. Jobnson

Examiner Art Unit 1752

> CYNTHY H. KELLY Superio of y a a a definiationer That held contact the 1800

Cyall Melly